This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

International Journal of Polymeric Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713647664>

Secondary Relaxations of Swollen Polymethacrylates and Methacrylate

Copolymers J. Janáĉekª; J. Kolaíkª

a Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Czechoslovakia

To cite this Article Janáĉek, J. and Kolaík, J.(1976) 'Secondary Relaxations of Swollen Polymethacrylates and Methacrylate Copolymers', International Journal of Polymeric Materials, 5: 1, 71 — 88 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00914037608072390 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00914037608072390>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.
distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Intern. J. Polymeric Mater., **1976, Vol.** *5,* pp. 11-88 *0* Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Ltd., **¹⁹⁷⁶** Printed in Reading, England

Secondary Relaxations of Swollen Polymethacrylates and Methacrylate Copolymerst

J. JANACEK and J. KOLARIK

Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague 6, 162 06, Czechoslovakia

(Received January, 1975)

Studies of relaxation behavior of a series of glassy polymethacrylates show that polar groups, comonomers and diluents affect the secondary transitions of the polymers in a similar manner as they affect the main transition regions. Polar groups in the side chain increase the transition temperatures. Increasing diluent causes a decrease in transition temperatures and some new secondary dispersions.

A systematic investigation of the mechanical relaxation behaviour in the glassy state is advantageously carried out on polymers of the polymethacrylate and polyacrylate type, since they allow the preparation of a large number of homologs with side chains of different chemical composition and substituted with different groups. Moreover, these polymers are usually amorphous, so that the relaxation behaviour is not complicated by the presence of the crystalline phase. The papers published so far in the field of polymethacrylates and polyacrylates can in principle be divided into three groups. In the first place, papers should be mentioned devoted to the relaxation behaviour of glassy polymers in the dry state. The initial studies concerning the effect of the hydrocarbon side chain length were carried out by Hoff *et al.*,¹ Illers,² and Heijboer **;3** the latter author concentrated his attention on the investigation of the mobility of cycloalkyl substituents on the side chains of polymethacrylates.4.5 Dynamic mechanical measurements of some hydroxyalkyl methacrylates in the dry state were performed by Shen.^{6,7} The second group comprises works by Shen and co-workers^{6,8,9} who were dealing with the problem of the effect of low molecular weight compounds on secondary relaxations; a special

tPresented on the 2nd meeting ofthe Italian Society of Rheology, Siena, May **1973.**

attention was devoted to the problems of the effect of interactions of polar diluents with polar groups **of** the side chains of polymethacrylates. Finally, some attention has also been paid to the relaxation behaviour ofthe copolymers of methacrylates and acrylates with side chains of different composition.4

The above problems of the secondary relaxations of polymethacrylates were studied in our laboratory from all three viewpoints mentioned here. Our efforts were concentrated on the study of some selected methacrylate polymers and copolymers summarized in Table I. In our report we would like to recapitulate briefly the conclusions of our earlier works and to point out some problems as yet unsolved.

POLYMETHACRYLATES IN THE DRY STATE

Besides a dispersion corresponding to the glass transition, polymethacrylates exhibit (Figure 1) the secondary (β_1) dispersion at temperatures about 10° C (1 Hz) and the low-temperature (y) dispersion at temperatures lower than -130° C.^{10,11} The low-temperature relaxation above the liquid nitrogen temperature **is** missing for PMMA and PEtMA, since the alkyl group of the

FIGURE 1 Temperature dependence of the loss modulus of poly(methy1 methacrylate)(l), poly(propyl methacrylate)(2) and poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)(3) (cf. Ref.^{10,11}).

side chain possesses less than three units.¹ On the other hand, for polymers with a longer side chain the α and β_1 relaxation regions overlap⁴ owing to the temperature decrease of the glass transition T_g . It is also well known¹² that the introduction of a polar group into the side chain causes an increase in the glass transition temperature, and consequently in T_a . We found¹¹ (Figure 1) that the substitution of the end methyl group in **PPMA** with the hydroxyl group **(PHEMA)** leads to an increase in temperature not only of the main *(u)* but also of both secondary transitions: the β_1 dispersion assigned to the hindered rotation of the \sim COOR side groups is shifted from 15°C to 28°C, and the γ dispersion attributed to the rotational isomerism of the $-R$ group is shifted from -175°C to -130°C . Thus, it is easy to see that the hydroxyl group at the end of the side chain affects the mobility both of the main chain and of the side chain **-COOR** as well as of its end part **-R.**

A similar effect of the hydroxyl group was also observed when it was attached to longer side chains, as follows from a comparison between **PHMA13** and $PHEOEMA^{11,14}$ (Figure 2); the temperature position of the respective dispersions is approximately the same as that of **PPMA** or **PHEMA,** but their intensities are lower. Since **PHEOEMA** contains an ether oxygen inside the side chain, the specific effect of the oxygen had to be determined in more detail. We regarded¹⁵ poly(propoxyethyl methacrylate) and poly(methoxyethyl methacrylate) as models suitable for this purpose. Both polymers exhibit (Figure 2) dispersion near -150° C, and PPOEMA exhibits another dispersion (Figure 2) dispersion near -150° C, and PPOEMA exhibits another dispersion at a temperature lower than -175° C which was missing for the polymer with shorter side chains. It may be inferred that the dispersion detected at a lower temperature corresponds to the hindered rotation of the propyl group of

J. **JANkEK AND** 1. **KOLARfK**

FIGURE 2 Temperature dependence of the loss modulus of poly(hexy1 methacrylate)(l), poly(2-(hydroxyethoxy)ethyl methacrylate)(2), poly(propoxyethy1 methacrylate)(3) and poly(methoxyethyl methacrylate)(4) (cf. Ref.^{11,13,15}).

PPOEMA bonded to the ether oxygen, and is therefore missing for PMOEMA; the other dispersion is probably due to hindered rotations of the central parts of the side chains of both polymers. It seems therefore that the substitution of the methylene group with the oxygen inside the side chain of PHMA has as the consequence the splitting of the low-temperature relaxation of PHMA into two parts, namely, one at a temperature lower than $T_v = -175^\circ$, the other at a higher temperature. For all the three polymers mentioned here having side chains with six units bonded to the COO— group, the β_1 dispersion could not be identified owing to its overlapping by the main transition region.

SYSTEM POLYMER-LOW MOLECULAR WEIGHT COMPOUND

The low-temperature relaxation behaviour of polymethacrylates containing the hydroxyl group in the side chain changes markedly in the presence of very low concentrations of diluents, particularly water. These changes appear in the regions of α and γ dispersion and also near -80° C. It was found¹⁴ that PHEMA with very low water concentrations exhibits a new secondary dispersion β_{sw} at -70° C, which is shifted to lower temperatures with increasing diluent content, and the intensity of which markedly increases (Figures 3, **4).** At the same time, γ relaxation assigned to the hindered rotations of the hydroxyethyl part of the side chain is first reduced and then vanishes altogether.

FIGURE 3 Temperature dependence of the loss modulus of poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) in the dry state and swollen with 1.5 and 7 wt. $\frac{6}{6}$ water (cf. Ref.¹⁶).

FIGURE **4** Effect of molar fraction (related to the molecular weight of the monomer repeat unit) of water on the relaxation behaviour of PHEMA in the region of the γ and β_{sw} maximum. For sake of comparison the loss modulus of dry poly (propyl methacrylate) is given **(cf.** Ref.14).

A conclusion was forwarded therefore14 that the interaction of the diluent molecule with the hydroxyl, or also with the ester group of the side chain, leads to a transformation of the γ process into the β_{sw} process.

Considerable attention was devoted later to the alterations in the parameters of the β_{sw} dispersion of PHEMA with the content of water and of other low molecular weight compounds.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ It was found¹⁸ that in the low concentration region the systems PHEMA-water, -ethylene glycol, -glycerin have higher β_{sw} maxima than those containing monohydric alcohols, acetone, pyridine, and chloroform. The more pronounced effect of diluents of the first group was ascribed¹⁸ to the ability of their molecules to interact (by forming hydrogen bonds) with two or more side chains of PHEMA. A similar conclusion about the bonding of one water molecule to two amide groups by means of two hydrogen bonds was formulated²⁰ earlier on the basis of measurements of the low-temperature relaxations of polycaproamide containing water.

The concentration dependence of temperature and intensity of the β_{sw} and a dispersions of PHEMA was investigated in detail¹⁹ on samples swollen in ethylene glycol (Figure 5a), formamide (Figure 5b), n-propanol, and water. If the diluent did not separate as the second phase at the temperatures of measurements, the temperature of both dispersions decreased with increasing volume fraction of diluent v_1 and approached T_a of the diluent. Figure 6 shows the concentration dependence of T_a and T_{β} of the system PHEMA-ethylene glycol which remained homogeneous over the whole range of concentrations measured, and of the system PHEMA-water where phase separation at v_1 near 0.25 prevents a further decrease in the dispersion temperatures with concentration. The concentration dependence of T_a of the system PHEMAethylene glycol could be described by an equation derived by Bueche and Kelley:21

$$
T_a = [T_{a2} + (k_a T_{a1} - T_{a2})\nu_1]/[1 + (k_a - 1)\nu_1], \qquad (1)
$$

where k_a is a constant and T_a , T_{a1} , T_{a2} are temperatures of the main transition of the swollen PHEMA, dry PHEMA and diluent read off as the peak of the loss maximum G" (1 **Hz).** In the concentration range in which no signs of the separation of diluent were seen, Eq. **(I)** could be used also for systems containing formamide and propanol; the values of constant k_a thus obtained are given in Table **11.** For the system PHEMA-ethylene glycol, *k,* corresponds to the ratio of the expansion coefficients of the free volumes of diluent and polymer, which indicates the addivity of free volumes of the components.

Eq. (I) also allowed a description of the concentration dependence of $T_{\beta\delta w}$ (substituted for T_{a2}), even though the resulting constant $k_{\beta\delta w}$ cannot be assigned the original meaning because the extent of the β_{sw} process is proportional to the content of diluent, and dry PHEMA does not exhibit this

FIGURE 5 temperature dependence of the moduli *G'* **and G"of PHEMA (cf. Ref.18). Effect of volume fraction of ethylene glycol (a) and formamide** (b) **on the**

FIGURE 6 Effect of the volume fraction of water (\bullet) and ethylene glycol (\circ) on T_{α} and *Tbrw* **of PHEMA. Full lines calculated according to Eq. (1) (cf. Ref.9**

TABLE I1

Coefficients k_{β} and k_{β} *au* of Eq. (1) and Temperature T°_{β} for Poly (2-hydroxyethyl Methacrylate)-Diluent Systems¹⁹

Diluent	Κα	k Bsw	T° _{Bate} $^{\circ}$ C
Ethylene glycol	3.0	2.6	-86
Formamide	3.6	3.6	-60
<i>n</i> -Propanol	(2.1)	(1.1)	(— 80)

dispersion and a corresponding rise in the expansion coefficient. It was nevertheless possible, by means of Eq. (I), to determine the limiting values of $T_{\beta\delta w}$ gor the volume fraction of the diluent $v_1 = 0$ and $v_1 = 1$. It can be seen from Table II that $T^{\circ}_{\beta sw}$ for $v_1 = 0$ depends on the type of diluent and is not a characteristic of the polymer itself. The experimental data also revealed that $\beta_{\delta w}$ dispersion is always located at temperatures higher than the glass transition of the diluent.

If on cooling, partial separation of the diluent in the form of the second phase occurs in the system PHEMA-diluent, further maxima corresponding to melting or glass transition in the diluent phase may be observed on the temperature dependence of the loss modulus.¹⁹ In this respect, the temperature dependences of the G' and G'' moduli of the system PHEMA-formamide are illustrative (Figure 5b). At $v_1 > 0.60$ the modulus G' decreases by two orders of magnitude between -120 and **-80°C;** this **is** followed by a steep increase with temperature up to the glassy state at -55° C; only if the temperature is increased further does the storage modulus drop and the system passes into the rubberlike state. The cause of the formation of a maximum on the **C'** vs. *T* curve is the separation and crystallization of a part of formamide in the originally supercooled homogeneous system. If the system is cooled again from *-55"C,* then in the second cycle of measurements the storage modulus decreases monotonically with temperature (Figure 5b; curve **0.71,** b), since the separated formamide is present in the stable form.

The effect of ethylene glycol concentration on the overall change of the modulus G' from the glassy into the rubberlike state is shown in Figure 7, where G' _b represents the boundary between the β_{sw} and *a* dispersions, G_e is the equilibrium modulus in the rubberlike state, and *G'eN* is higher than the equilibrium modulus by the contribution of unrelaxed entanglements. It is obvious that the decrease in the storage modulus caused by the β_{sw} process, $\Delta G'_{\beta sw} =$ $G'_{-130^{\circ}C}-G'_{b}$, increases with the diluent concentration and for $v_1 > 0.5$ exceeds

FIGURE 7 Effect of concentration of ethylene glycol on the decrease in the modulus G' from the glassy to the rubberlike state (cf. text) (cf. Ref.Ig).

considerably the value of one logarithmic decade. If one denotes similarly $\Delta G'_a = G'_b - G'_{eN}$, it can be seen that $\Delta G'_{\beta s w}$ increases at the expense of $\Delta G'_a$ and at higher diluent concentrations represents an essential part of the overall decrease in the modulus of elasticity. From the data obtained **a** conclusion19 was drawn that at $T_{\beta\delta w}$ motion of the diluent molecules sets in along with the motion of the side chains, and contributes largely to the intensity of the β_{sw} dispersion.

Changes similar to that in the low-temperature relaxation region are also probably induced by diluent in the region of the β_1 relaxation.^{11,22} However, it is very difficult to obtain reliable data, since this relaxation is overlapped by the main transition already in the presence of a very low concentration of the diluents. An example of alterations of the G" vs. *T* dependence due to water which seem to be qualita ively analogous to that in the low-tempe rature relaxation region i given in Figure 8a. These results led to the view that owing to the interaction of a molecule of the diluent with the hydroxyl or ester group the hindered rotations of the R — and \sim COO— groups set in at elevated temperatures. The temperature decreases with increasing concentration of diluents also in the case of the β_1 dispersion (Figure 8b). The effect of the diluent concentration on the temperature location of PHEMA dispersions can **be** seen schematically in Figure 9.

A specific behaviour during the incorporation of diluents was found for PHEOEMA¹³ (Figure 10). The low-temperature dispersion is also reduced by swelling, but at temperatures higher than T_{γ} two secondary dispersions are

FIGURE 8 Effect of weight fraction of diluents on the temperature position of the β_1 dispersion of PHEMA (cf. Ref.¹¹).

(a) water; (b) \odot) water, \odot) propanol, \odot) chloroform, \odot) diethylene glycol.

FIGURE 9 Schematic view of **the effect of diluent concentration on the temperature position** of **the dispersions** of **poly(2-hydrox)ethyl methacrylate).**

formed, viz., one at -90° C and the other-designated β_{sw} -at temperatures lower than -40° C. The intensity of both dispersions increases with the diluent concentration; dispersion β_{sw} is shifted to lower temperatures while the dispersion at -90° C does not change its temperature position, so that both dispersions eventually coincide. The onset of mobility of the side chains in two stages is probably a consequence of the presence of ether oxygen inside the side chains. A detailed molecular mechanism of these dispersions is not yet quite clear.

CO PO LY M E RS

In the copolymers HEMA-BuMA the low-temperature relaxations of side chains of the components somewhat affect²³ each other (Figure 11). With increasing concentration of BuMA in HEMA the γ maximum of HEMA becomes lower and asymmetrically extended toward lower temperatures. At a molar fraction of BuMA from 0.4 to 0.6, two loss maxima arise corresponding to the BuMA and HEMA components. Copolymers having the molar fraction

FIGURE 10 Effect of the molar fraction of **acetone (a) and ethanol** (b) **on the temperature** dependence of the loss modulus of PHEOEMA (cf. Ref.¹³).

of BuMA higher than 0.60 exhibit only the *y* maximum of BuMA deformed toward higher temperatures. It is evident, therefore, that the incorporation of monomer repeat units of the second component into polyads of the predominant component does not lead to the possible limiting cases, i.e. either to the formation of the second loss maximum or to a systematic shift of the existing loss maximum on the temperature scale according to the average

FIGURE 11 Temperature dependence of the moduli G' and G" of the copolymers **HEMA BuMA (cf. Ref.23). The values given in the Figure indicate molar fractions (related to the molecular weight of the monomer repeat units) of HEMA** in **the copolymer.**

composition, as it is the case for the main transition²⁴ (Figure 12). The γ dispersion of copolymers is characterized by broadening of the spectrum of relaxation times, which indicates an extension of the scale of conditions (intermolecular forces and steric hindrances) under which the relaxation motions of the side chains take place. The existence of two loss maxima at the equimolar composition indicates that the relaxation motion of the side chains in the polyads occurs under conditions similar to those in homopolymers (changes in the temperature position with respect to the maxima of homopolymers can to a certain extent be assigned to overlapping of the peaks). The presence of water in the equimolar copolymer (Figure **13)** leads to the transformation of the γ process of the HEMA components into the β_{sw} process in a similar way as in PHEMA, while the γ dispersion of the BuMA component remains preserved at -175° C similarly to the homopolymer. It can be deduced, therefore, that the mobility of the side chains is affected by the composition of copolymers; however, it is not a function of the average composition, but probably depends on the close surroundings of the respective side chains.

FIGURE 12 Dependence of T_g and T_γ on the volume fraction of BuMA in the copolymers **HEMA-BuMA (cf. Ref.2a).**

FIGURE 13 Effect of water on the temperature dependence of the loss modulus of the equimolar copolymer HEMA-BuMA. Water content is given as the molar fraction of water related to the HEMA component (cf. Ref.²³).

To elucidate the effect of the composition and concentration of a certain type of side chains on the temperature and intensity of γ dispersion, the copolymers HEMA-MMA,¹⁰ BuMA-MMA¹⁰ (Figure 14) and HEMA-MAAc²⁵ (Figure **15)** were investigated. No overlapping of two low-temperature dispersions could be observed for those copolymers, because above the liquid nitrogen temperature PMMA and PMAAc do not exhibit any γ relaxation. Judging by the height of the loss maximum G_r " and by the change in the modulus ΔG_{γ} the intensity of the γ dispersion of the copolymers appeared

FIGURE 14 Effect of the volume fraction of MMA in the copolymers BuMA-MMA (upper Figure) and HEMA-MMA (lower Figure) on the temperature dependence of the loss modulus (cf. Ref.l0).

FIGURE 15 Effect of the volume fraction of MAAc in **the copolymers with HEMA** on **the temperature dependence of the loss modulus (cf. Ref.25).**

proportional to the volume fraction of **HEMA** or **BuMA** (Figures 16, **17).** Consequently, the relaxation strength of the side chains (energy losses per one side chain) seems to be constant for a certain type of the side chains and independent of their concentration in the polymer.

The dependence of T_y on the volume fraction of MMA or MAAc is given in Figure 18. In our earlier paper¹⁰ we tried to interpret the decrease in T_y in terms of an increase in free volume available to the side chains $HO - CH_2CH_2$ or CH_3 - $(CH_2)_3$ - due to the dilution with the MMA component. It seems natural that the side chains of monomer repeat units adjacent to the **MMA**

units are less sterically hindered. On the other hand, however, it can be seen from Figure 18 that the effect of MAAc is weaker even though the MAAc side group is smaller than that of MMA. It is obvious, therefore, that the decrease in the steric hindrances of the γ process is compensated for to a large extent by an interaction of the remaining hydroxyethyl side chains with the carboxylic groups.²⁵ The resulting temperature position of the γ dispersion is thus dependent on the relative effect of both factors, i.e. of the steric hindrances and intermolecular interaction.

While the temperatures of the β_1 dispersion of PHEMA, PMMA and PBuMA differ only little, T_{g1} of PMMAc is approximately 48° lower than that of PHEMA (Figure 15). Figure 19 shows the continuous decrease in $T_{\beta 1}$ of the copolymers HEMA-MAAc from **28°C** for PHEMA to **-20°C** for PM MAC.

FIGURE 16 Effect of the volume fraction of MMA on the height of the γ maximum of the copolymers HEMA-MMA and BuMA-MMA (cf. Ref.¹⁰).

FIGURE 17 Effect of the volume fraction of MMA on the drop in the modulus G' in the region of the γ **maximum of the copolymers HEMA-MMA and BuMA-MMA (cf. Ref.¹⁰).**

FIGURE 18 Effect of the volume fraction of MMAc or MMA on the decrease in T_Y of the copolymers with HEMA and BuMA (cf. data. Ref.^{10,25}).

FIGURE 19 Dependence of $T_{\beta1}$ of the copolymers HEMA-MAAc on the volume fraction **of MAAc (cf. Ref.26).**

The curve thus obtained could be described²⁵ by means of Eq. (1) using the parameter $k_{01} = 3$. Although in the region of the β_1 dispersion of polymethacrylates an increase in the expansion coefficient indicating an increase in free volume was detected,²⁶ the value for PMMAc is not known, and k_{g1} cannot therefore be compared with the free-volume characteristics. In contrast with the γ process, it can be seen for the β_1 process that the decrease in steric hindrances prevails over the increase in the intermolecular forces due to the incorporation of MAAc. The increase in the MAAc content leads also to a continuous increase in both the height and area of the β_1 maximum (Figure **15),** so that the loss maximum of PMAAc is approximately twice as high as the corresponding maximum of PHEMA. Since the changes of the intensity and temperature of the β_1 dispersion with composition are continuous, it can be inferred that the corresponding molecular motion in PMMAc is analogous to that in PHEMA, and thus also in polyalkyl methacrylates. Owing to the low value of $T_{\beta1}$ and high energy losses in PMAAc it seems likely²⁵ that some local motions of the main chains participate in the β_1 process.

It follows from the data collected here that some factors, such as polar groups, comonomers, and diluents affect the secondary transitions of glassy polymethacrylates in a similar way as they do the main transition region. The introduction of polar groups into the side chains increases T_g as well as T_g and $T_{\beta1}$. Temperatures T_g and $T_{\beta\delta w}$ of PHEMA decrease with increasing diluent content converging to the glass transition temperature of the diluent. Some concentration dependences, such as e.g. $T_{\beta s w}$ vs. v_1 or $T_{\beta 1}$ vs. volume fraction of MAAc, may formally be described by **Eq. (1)** originally derived for similar dependences of the glass transition temperature. On the other hand, glassy polymethacrylates exhibit some specific features, of which the formation of new secondary dispersions due to diluents should be mentioned in the first place. At present it seems that besides collecting experimental data there is an urgent need for a molecular theory which would cover both the main transition region and the region of secondary transitions in the glassy state.

References

- **1.** E. A. **W.** Hoff, D. W. Robinson, and A. H. Wi1bourn.J. *Polymer Sci.* **18, 161 (1955).**
- **2.** K. H. Illers, *Ber. Bunsenges. physik. Chem.* **70,353 (1966).**
- **3.** J. Heijboer, *Kolloid-Z.* **171, 7 (1960).**
- **4.** J. Heijboer in Proc. Int. Conf. Non-Crystaline Solids (J. A. Prins, ed.), North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam **(1965).**
- **5.** J. Heijboer, *J. Polymer Sci.* **C16, 3413 (1968).**
- **6. M.** C. Shen md J. D. Strong,J. *Appl. Phys.* **38,4197 (1967).**
- **7. M.** C. Shen and J. D. Strong, J. *Macrornol. Sci.-Phys.* **B1, 15 (1967).**
- **8. M.** C. Shen, J. D. Strong, and H. Schlein, *J. Macromol. Sci.-Chem.* **A3, 1315 (1969).**
- **9. M.** C. Shen and E. H. Cirlin, *J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys. 84,* **293 (1970).**
- **10. J.** Kolaflk, *J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys.* **B5,355 (1971).**
- 11. **F. Lednický and J. Janáček, J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys. B5,** 335 (1971).
- **12.** L. **E.** Nielsen, *Mechanical Properties* of *Polymers,* Reinhold Publ. *Co.,* New York **(1963).**
- 13. J. Janáček, *J. Polymer Sci.* **C23,** 373 (1968).
- 14. J. Janáček and J. Kolařík, *Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun.* **30,** 1597 (196N).
- 15. **J. Janáček and A. Zahradníková,** *J. Polymer Sci.* **A2, 6,** 1810 (1968).
- 16. **J. Janáček and J. D. Ferry, J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys. B5,** 219 (1971).
- 17. J. Janáček and J. Kolařík, *J. Polymer Sci.* C16, 279 (1967).
- 18. J. Kolařík and J. Janáček, *Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun.* **32**, 4224 (1967).
- 19. **J. Kolařík and J. Janáček, J. Polymer Sci. A-2, 10, 11 (1972).**
- **20.** J. Kolaflk and J. Janhtek, *J. Polymer Sci* **C16,441 (1967).**
- **21.** F. Bueche, *Physical Properties* of *Polymers,* Interscience, New York **(1 962).**
- 22. **F. Lednický and J. Janáček,** *J. Polymer Sci***. B6, 683** (1968).
- **23.** J. Kolaflk, *Internat.* J. *Polymeric Muter.* **1, 125 (1972).**
- 24. M Ilavský and J. Kolařík, *Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun.* 34, 2473 (1969).
- **25. J.** Kolaflk and M. Stol, *Polymer* J. **5,158 (1973).**
- **26. R. A.** Haldon and *R.* Simha, *J. Appl. Phys.* **39,1890 (1 968).**